arXiv:1608.04299v1 [math.MG] 12 Aug 2016

Ptolemy Constants as Described by Eccentricity

Steven Finch

August 12, 2016

Abstract. Let J denote a simple closed curve in the plane. Let points a, b, c, $d \in J$ occur in this order when traversing J in a counterclockwise direction. Define $p(a,b,c,d)$ to be the ratio of $ab \cdot cd + ad \cdot bc$ to $ac \cdot bd$, where zw denotes distance between z and w. Define $P(J)$ to be the supremum of p over all such points. Harmaala & Klén [1] provided bounds on $P(J)$ when J is an ellipse or rectangle of eccentricity ε. We nonrigorously give formulas for $P(J)$ here, in the hope that someone else can fill gaps in our reasoning.

Given parameters $0 \le \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \theta_4 < 2\pi$ and $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$, consider vertices

$$v_k = \left(\cos\theta_k, \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\,\sin\theta_k\right), \qquad 1 \le k \le 4$$

of a convex quadrilateral inscribed within the planar ellipse

$$x^2 + \frac{y^2}{1-\varepsilon^2} = 1 \qquad \text{(with foci at } (\pm\varepsilon, 0)\text{)}.$$

The ratio

$$p = \frac{|v_1 - v_2| \cdot |v_3 - v_4| + |v_1 - v_4| \cdot |v_2 - v_3|}{|v_1 - v_3| \cdot |v_2 - v_4|}$$

involves lengths of sides in the numerator and lengths of diagonals in the denominator. Let $P(\varepsilon)$ denote the supremum of the ratio over all parameters θ_1, θ_2, θ_3, θ_4. It is thought that $P(\varepsilon)$ measures the "roundness of planar curves". Harmaala & Klén [1] proved that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}{1}\right) \le P(\varepsilon) \le \csc\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}{2}\right)$$

but evidently did not tighten these bounds.

Symbolic calculations of the gradient vector and Hessian matrix of p indicate that

$$(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$$

[0]Copyright © 2016 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

corresponds to a local maximum of p, regardless of the value of ε. For example, the Hessian matrix at this point is

$$\frac{-\varepsilon^4}{8\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{3-\varepsilon^2}{2-\varepsilon^2} & 0 & \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{2-\varepsilon^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3-2\varepsilon^2}{(2-\varepsilon^2)(1-\varepsilon^2)} & 0 & \frac{1}{(2-\varepsilon^2)(1-\varepsilon^2)} \\ \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{2-\varepsilon^2} & 0 & \frac{3-\varepsilon^2}{2-\varepsilon^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{(2-\varepsilon^2)(1-\varepsilon^2)} & 0 & \frac{3-2\varepsilon^2}{(2-\varepsilon^2)(1-\varepsilon^2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and all conditions of the multivariate second derivative test are clearly met. Numerical optimization techniques suggest that this, in fact, corresponds to a *global* maximum. We do not see how to verify this rigorously. If an analytical workaround could somehow be discovered, we would have

$$P(\varepsilon) = \frac{2-\varepsilon^2}{2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}$$

for an ellipse of eccentricity ε, which is the lower bound given in [1], Theorem 1.7.

Consider instead vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 of a convex quadrilateral inscribed within the planar rectangle

$$\max\left\{|x|, \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}\right\} = 1.$$

Cyclicity is assumed as before. This is analogous to the ellipse, although the existence of sharp corners changes the nature of the analysis. Here we have

$$P(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} & \text{if } 0 \le \varepsilon \le \sqrt{3}/2, \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{1+4(1-\varepsilon^2)}}{2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}} & \text{if } \sqrt{3}/2 < \varepsilon < 1 \end{cases}$$

for a rectangle of eccentricity ε, which again is the lower bound given in [1], Corollary 4.8. The threshold $\varepsilon = \sqrt{3}/2$ implies $\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} = 1/2$, that is, a transition occurs at a 2×1 rectangle.

The left-hand rectangle in Figure 1 shows an optimizing vertex configuration for $0 \le \varepsilon \le \sqrt{3}/2$; the right-hand rectangle shows an optimizing vertex configuration for $\sqrt{3}/2 \le \varepsilon < 1$. For the former,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \left(1, \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right), & v_2 &= \left(-1, \delta - \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right), \\ v_3 &= \left(-1, -\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right), & v_4 &= \left(\delta - 1, -\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$



Figure 1: On the left are rectangles that are 2×1 or less eccentric. On the right are rectangles that are 2×1 or more eccentric.

give

$$\frac{\sqrt{4 + \left(\delta - 2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right)^2} \cdot \delta + \sqrt{(\delta-2)^2 + 4\left(1-\varepsilon^2\right)} \cdot \delta}{\sqrt{4 + 4\left(1-\varepsilon^2\right)} \cdot \sqrt{2}\,\delta} \to \sqrt{2}$$

as $\delta \to 0^+$. For the latter,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= \left(0, \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right), & v_2 &= \left(-1, -\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right), \\ v_3 &= \left(0, -\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right), & v_4 &= \left(1, -\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the rest follows trivially. A sizeable variety of vertex configurations need to be ruled out in order to verify global maximality.

Ptolemy constants remain open for a regular hexagon and for a Reuleaux triangle, as well as for arbitrary convex quadrilaterals. Discovering these could be a fruitful exercise in computer algebra.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Harmaala and R. Klén, Ptolemy constant and uniformity, arXiv:1604.05367.

Steven Finch
Dept. of Statistics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA, USA
steven_finch@harvard.edu